UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/594,405 | 94,405 09/26/2006 Atsushi Fukaya | | 8007-1117 | 1916 |
| 466 YOUNG & TH | 7590 07/13/201 OMPSON | EXAMINER | | |
| 209 Madison St | | CANTELMO, GREGG | | |
| Suite 500 Alexandria, VA | 22314 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/13/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/594,405 | FUKAYA ET AL. | |
| | i | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Gregg Cariteiino | 1 1793 | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance | it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the |). | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | hortened statutory period for reply orig | inally set in the final Offic | e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | | | e appeal. Since a |
| | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov | • | | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bettappeal; and/or | .,, | | he issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence of the amendment filed after find | al requires further consideration ar | | ling of this |
| amendment after final has not been entered. (See | | | DTOL 224) |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (i | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. | | timely filed amondmer | at cancoling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | | _ |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ii be entered and an e. | хріапацоп оі |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Gregg Cantelmo/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1795 | | |